


**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK
OFFICE
REQUEST FOR FILING
(RULE 53(b)(1))**

jc685 U.S. PTO



09/21/00

jc685 U.S. PTO
09/21/00

For Design or Utility Applications

Rule 53(b)(1) PATENT APPLICATION:

- ☐ Continuation)
application under 37 CFR 1.53(b)(1)
- ☒ Divisional)
application under 37 CFR 1.53(b)(1)
of pending prior application of

(DO NOT USE FOR CLERK)

Inventor(s): VAN DER LOO

Parent Appln. No.: 08 995,754

Series Code ↑

Serial No. ↑

Group Art Unit: 1771

Examiner: RAIMUND, C.

Atty. Dkt. PM 273691

New M#

8274US/CON/VO/DIV

Client Ref

Parent Filed: DECEMBER 22, 1997

This Appln. Filed: SEPTEMBER 15, 2000

Title: BALLISTIC-RESISTANT MOULDED ARTICLE AND A PROCESS FOR THE MANUFACTURE
OF THE MOULDED ARTICLE

Hon. Commissioner of Patents
Washington, DC 20231

Date: September 21, 2000

(Parent Matter No. 244464)

Sir:

To effect the above-requested filing today:

Attached is a copy (**which must be filed**) of the prior application, including:

- ☒ Abstract
- ☒ Specification and claims (22 pages) (**must be attached**)
- ☐ Drawings (**must be attached if originally filed**): _____ sheet(s)/set: ☐ 1 set informal;
☐ Formal of size ☐ A4 ☐ 11"

1A. Always X one box, only:

- (1) ☒ Copy of Signed declaration or oath as originally filed in prior application attached
- (2) ☐ NO declaration or fee is enclosed; therefore, this is a filing under Rule 53(f).

2. ☐ This application is hereby filed by less than all of the inventors named in the prior application. Petition is hereby made requesting deletion as inventor(s) of the following who is/are **not** inventor(s) of the invention being claimed in this application (**DELETE THE FOLLOWING INVENTOR(S)**):

1. _____	2. _____
3. _____	4. _____
5. _____	6. _____
7. _____	8. _____

2.5 THE INVENTOR(S) FOR THIS NEW APPLICATION IS(ARE):

1. _____	2. _____
3. _____	4. _____
5. _____	6. _____
7. _____	8. _____

3. The entire disclosure of the prior application is considered as being part of the disclosure of the accompanying application and is hereby incorporated therein by reference thereto.

4. ☒ Priority is claimed under 35 U.S.C. 119/365 based on filing in THE NETHERLANDS of _____ (country)
- | | <u>Application No.</u> | <u>Filing Date</u> | | <u>Application No.</u> | <u>Filing Date</u> |
|-----|------------------------|---------------------|-----|------------------------|--------------------|
| (1) | <u>1000598</u> | <u>20 JUNE 1995</u> | (2) | _____ | _____ |
| (3) | _____ | _____ | (4) | _____ | _____ |
| (5) | _____ | _____ | (6) | _____ | _____ |

a. ☐ _____ (No.) Certified copy/copies attached.

b. ☒ Certified copy/copies previously filed on DECEMBER 22, 1997 in _____
 U.S. Application No. 08/995,754, filed on DECEMBER 22, 1997.
series code ↑ ↑ serial no.

4. c. ☒ Certified copy/copies filed during International stage of PCT/ NL96/00247.
 (a) ☐ Domestic priority is claimed from _____/_____, filed _____.
 PCT/
 (b) ☐ Benefit is claimed of Provisional Application No. 60/_____, filed _____.

5. ☒ Prior application is assigned to DSM N.V.
 by assignment recorded APRIL 15, 1998 (Date) Reel 9098 Frame 0912.

☒ Attached is the following number of Assignments (including original and all later successive ones by different assignors): 1 and respective new Cover Sheets. (Do **NOT** file old cover sheets.)

(Assignments in parent **must be refiled** with new Cover Sheets in this continuing application if you want it/them recorded against the continuing application.)

Please return the recorded Assignment to the undersigned.

☒ The power of attorney in the prior application is to KENDREW H. COLTON, 30.368

(Name and Reg. No.)
 whose current address is as in item 8 below.

a. ☐ Recognize as associate attorney _____

(Name, Reg. No. and Address)

8. **Address all future communications to Intellectual Property Group of Pillsbury Madison & Sutro LLP, Ninth Floor, East Tower 1100 New York Avenue, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20005-3918**

9. ☐ Amend the specification by inserting before the first line the sentence:--This is a
☐ continuation ☒ division of Application No. 08/995,754, filed DECEMBER 22, 1997
series code ↑ ↑ serial no.

ALLOWED ON JULY 28, 2000, WHICH IS A CONT. OF PCT/NL96/00247 FILED JUNE 14, 1996.

9. (a) ☐ Amend the specification by inserting before the first line: --This application claims the benefit of Provisional Application No. 60/_____, filed _____.--

10. ☐ It has been recently determined that this new continuing application is entitled to small entity status.
 Hence:
 (No.) Verified Statement(s) establishing "small entity" status under Rules 9 & 27 were/are:
☐ filed in above prior application (and hence applicable hereto)
☐ attached.

11. Petition to extend the life of the above prior application to at least the date hereof
 (one box) ☐ is being concurrently filed in that prior application (Use Form PAT-111).
 (must be) ☐ was previously filed in that prior application (Check length of prior extension).
 (X'd) ☒ is not necessary for copendency (Double check before X'ing this box).

12. ☒ **INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT:** Attached is Form PTO-1449 listing all of the documents cited by Applicant and the PTO in the parent application(s) relied upon under 35 USC 120 and referenced in item 9 above. Per Rule 98(d) copies of those documents are not required now. Please consider those documents and advise that they have been considered in this new application as by returning a copy of the enclosed Form PTO-1449 with the Examiner's initials in the left column per MPEP 609. .
13. ☐ Attached is a Rule 103(a) Petition to Suspend Action.
14. ☒ **PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT to be entered before fee calculation:** (Do not make amendments here except for correction of improper multiple dependencies or cancellation of whole claims or multiple dependencies for purpose of reducing the filing fee per MPEP §§ 506 and 607; do not cancel all claims).

Please cancel claims 1-8.

Claim 11, line 1 delete "8 or".

Claims 13 and 14, line 1, change "any one of the claims 8, 9, or 12" to -- claim 9--.

Claim 15, line 1, change "any one of the claims 8-14" to --claim 9--.

Claim 16, line 1, change "any one of the claims 8-15" to --claim 9--.

Claim 17, line 1, change "any one of the claims 8-16" to -- claim 9--.

Claim 18, line 2, change "any one of the claims 8-17" to --claim 9--.

FILING FEE

THE FOLLOWING FILING FEE IS BASED ON

-->-->-->CLAIMS AS FILED AND CHANGED BY PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT IN ITEM 14<--<--<--<

NOTE: If box 1A2 is X'd, do not pay fees,
but leave lines 15-22 and 27-32 blank.

PTO: PLEASE NOTE CLAIM CANCELLATIONS IF BOX 14 ABOVE IS X'D.

				Large/Small Entity		Fee Code
15. Basic Filing FeeDesign Application				\$310/\$155		106/26
16. Basic Filing FeeNot Design Application				\$690/\$345	+690	101/201
17. Total Effective Claims	11	minus 20 =	0	x \$18/\$9	+0	103/203
18. Independent Claims	1	minus 3 =	0	x \$78/\$39	+0	102/202
19. If <u>any proper</u> multiple dependent claim (ignore improper) is present,				\$260/\$130	+0	104/204
20. Subtotal =					\$690	
21. If "petition" box 13 above is X'd, add petition fee. \$130					+0	122
21A. If box 6 above is X'd, add Assignment recording fee \$ 40					+40	581
22. TOTAL FILING FEE ATTACHED =					\$730	

(carry forward to Item 31)

23. ☐ ATTACHED:
24. ☒ Preliminary Amendment attached (to be entered after assigning Appln. No.)
25. ☐ The following PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT is to be entered after assigning Appln. No.:

26.

**ADDITIONAL FEE CALCULATION FOR
PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT
PER BOXES 24/25**

	Claims remaining after amendment	Highest number previously paid for	Present Extra	Additional Fee	
					Large/Small Entity File Code
27.	Total Effective Claims *9	minus ** 20	= 0	x \$18/\$9	= \$ 0 (103/203)
28.	Independent Claims *1	minus *** 3	= 0	x \$78/\$39	= + 0 (102/202)
29.	If amendment enters proper multiple dependent claim(s) into this application for the first time, add (per application)			\$260/\$130	+ 0 (104/204)
30.			ADDITIONAL FEE	\$ 0	
31.			plus FEE from item 22 on page 3	+	
32.			<u>TOTAL FEE ATTACHED</u>	<u>\$ 730</u>	

33. *If the entry in this space is less than a entry in the next space, the "Present Extra" result is "0"

34. **If the "Highest number previously paid for" (see item 17 above) is less than 20, write "20" in this space

35. If the "Highest number previously paid for" (see item 18 above) is less than 3, write "3" in this space

Our Deposit Account No. 03-3975

Our Order No. 30268	273691
C#	M#

CHARGE STATEMENT: Upon the filing of a Declaration pursuant to Rule 60(b) or 60(d), the Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any fee specifically authorized hereafter, or any missing or insufficient fee(s) filed, or asserted to be filed, or which should have been filed herewith or concerning any paper filed hereafter, and which may be required under Rules 16-18 (missing or insufficient fee only) now or hereafter relative to this application and the resulting Official document under Rule 20, or credit any overpayment, to our Account/Order Nos. shown above for which purpose a duplicate copy of this sheet is attached.

This CHARGE STATEMENT does not authorize charge of the issue fee until/unless an issue fee transmittal form is filed.

**Pillsbury Madison & Sutro LLP
Intellectual Property Group**

1100 New York Avenue, NW
Ninth Floor
Washington, DC 20005-3918
Tel: (202) 861-3000
KHC/amp
Atty./Sec.

By Atty: Kendrew H. Colton

Reg. No. 30368

Sig: 

Fax: (202) 822-0944

Tel: (202) 861-3606

NOTE No. 1: File this Request in duplicate with 2 postcard receipts (PAT-103) & attachments

NOTE No. 2: Is extension in parent necessary for copendency? **DOUBLE CHECK** Item 11 above.
If yes, printout Pat-111 and head it in parent.

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re PATENT APPLICATION of

VAN DER LOO

Group Art Unit: 1771

Divisional of Appln. No.: 08/995,754

Examiner: C. Raimund

Parent Filed: December 22, 1997

Title: BALLISTIC-RESISTANT MOULDED ARTICLE
AND A PROCESS FOR THE MANUFACTURE
OF THE MOULDED ARTICLE

* * * * *

PRELIMINARY AMENDMENT

Hon. Commissioner of Patents
and Trademarks
Washington, DC 20231

Sir:

Please enter this Preliminary Amendment.

IN THE CLAIMS:

Please cancel claims 9, 10 and 11 without prejudice or disclaimer.

Please amend claims 12-18 as follows:

12. (Amended) Process according to claim 19, wherein [11, characterized in that the] monolayer packages, too, are compressed and cooled at a pressure of at least 5 MPa.

13. (Amended) Process according to claim 19, wherein said [9, characterized in that the specified] pressure is at least 7 MPa.

14. (Amended) Process according to claim 19, wherein said [9, characterized in that the specified] pressure is at least 10 MPa.

15. (Amended) Process according to claim 19, wherein said [9, characterized in that the] reinforcing fibres in the monolayers have a cross-section aspect ratio of at most 3.

16. (Amended) Process according to claim 19, wherein said [9, characterized in that the] monolayer has been obtained by impregnating the reinforcing fibres with an aqueous dispersion containing the plastic matrix material.

17. (Amended) Process according to claim 19, wherein said [9, characterized in that the] monolayer has a fibre weight of between 50 and 150 g/m².

18. (Amended) Ballistic-resistant moulded article obtainable from the semi-manufactured article according to claim 19, [according to the process of any of the claims 8-17,] characterized in that the moulded article has a SEA of at least 75 Jm²/kg on impact of a 7.62 Mild Steel Core P.S. Ball M1943 bullet.

Please amend claim 19 as follows:

19. (Amended) A semi [Semi]-manufactured article [according to the first step in the process according to claim 9] for the manufacture of a ballistic-resistant moulded article, said semi-manufacture article being obtained by forming a stack of cross-layered monolayers, said stack having an areal density of from 0.25 to 5 kg/m², and compressing said stack at an elevated pressure and cooling said stack at a given first compressive pressure which is at least 5MPa, [according to any of the claims 9-16, characterized in that the areal density of the] said semi-manufactured article [is] having an areal density between 0.25 and 5 kg/m².

Please add the following new claim as follows:

--20. A semi-manufactured article according to claim 19, wherein said stack comprises consolidated monolayer packages, containing from 2 to 18 monolayers that are placed cross-wise so as to form cross-layered monolayers.--

REMARKS


The above claims are presented for examination. Amende claim 19 avoids improper multiple dependencies. New claim 20 is based on former claims. Amended claims 12-18 are now amended to depend from amended claim 19.

This application is thought to be in condition for allowance and Notice to the same is respectfully requested.

The attached PTO 1449 Form lists references for the Examiner's independent review and consideration. It is thought that these references are available to the Examiner as they were of record in the parent application. The Examiner is respectfully requested to acknowledge the independent consideration of these references.

Respectfully submitted,

PILLSBURY MADISON & SUTRO, LLP

By: 
Kendrew H. Colton
Reg. No. 30368
Tel. No. (202) 861-3606
Fax No. (202) 822-0944

KHC:amp
1100 New York Avenue, NW
Ninth Floor, East Tower
Washington, DC 20005-3918

APPLICATION UNDER UNITED STATES PATENT LAWS

Atty. Dkt. No. PM 273691
(M#)

Invention: VAN DER LOO

Inventor (s): BALLISTIC-RESISTANT MOULDED ARTICLE AND A PROCESS FOR THE
MANUFACTURING ARTICLE

Pillsbury Madison & Sutro LLP
Intellectual Property Group
1100 New York Avenue, NW
Ninth Floor
Washington, DC 20005-3918
Attorneys
Telephone: (202) 861-3000

This is a:

- ☐ Provisional Application
- ☐ Regular Utility Application
- ☒ Continuing Application
 - ☒ The contents of the parent are incorporated by reference
- ☐ PCT National Phase Application
- ☐ Design Application
- ☐ Reissue Application
- ☐ Plant Application
- ☐ Substitute Specification
 - Sub. Spec Filed _____
 - in App. No. _____ / _____
- ☐ Marked up Specification re
 - Sub. Spec. filed _____
 - In App. No _____ / _____

SPECIFICATION

5 BALLISTIC-RESISTANT MOULDED ARTICLE AND A PROCESS FOR
 THE MANUFACTURE OF THE MOULDED ARTICLE

10 The invention relates to a ballistic-
resistant moulded article containing a compressed stack
of monolayers, with each monolayer containing
unidirectionally oriented reinforcing fibres and at
most 30 wt.% of a plastic matrix material and with the
fibre direction in each monolayer being rotated with
15 respect to the fibre direction in an adjacent
monolayer. The invention also relates to a process for
the manufacture of the moulded article. The ballistic-
resistant moulded article may be used in, for instance,
helmets, as inserts in bullet-proof vests, as armouring
20 on military vehicles and in ballistic-resistant panels.

 A ballistic-resistant moulded article of this
type is disclosed in WO 89/06190. The known ballistic-
resistant moulded article affords fairly good
protection against impacts of projectiles such as
25 shrapnel or bullets. The level of protection is
quantified by means of the Specific Energy Absorption
(SEA), a measure of the amount of energy that can be
absorbed by a moulded article on impact of a projectile
per unit areal density of the moulded article. Fairly
30 good protection by the known moulded article on impact
of a bullet is intended to mean an SEA value of from
about 30 Jm²/kg to maximum 65 Jm²/kg. The March 1992
"Ballistics" leaflet of Messrs Allied-Signal Inc.,
Petersburg, Virginia, quotes as highest SEA level an
35 SEA of 65 Jm²/kg on impact of a 7.62 x 39 Mild Steel
Core P.S. Ball M1943. This SEA level has been achieved
after years of optimization and was regarded by
ballistics experts as being very high.

Nevertheless, there continues to be a great need for ballistic-resistant moulded articles that can offer increased protection against impacts of projectiles of various kinds, especially against
5 projectiles in the form of bullets.

The inventors of the present invention have found that this object is achieved by the density ρ_p of the compressed stack in the ballistic-resistant moulded article being at least 98.0% of the theoretical maximum
10 density.

The theoretical maximum density ρ_{th} is calculated using the following formula:

$$\rho_{th} = \rho_f m_f + \rho_k m_k$$

where

15 ρ_f = density of the fibres

ρ_k = density of the plastic

m_f = mass fraction of the fibres in the compressed stack

20 m_k = mass fraction of the plastic in the compressed stack

Surprisingly, a ballistic-resistant moulded article of the invention has an SEA value of at least 75 Jm²/kg on impact of a 7.62 x 39 Mild Steel Core P.S. Ball M1943.
25

The percentage of the theoretical maximum density is hereafter referred to as the relative density. Preferably, the relative density of the compressed stack is at least 98.5%, more preferably at least 99.0% and most preferably at least 99.5%. In this
30 way, a ballistic-resistant moulded article having an even better SEA value is obtained. More preferably, the SEA value is at least 90, even more preferably at least 110 Jm²/kg, most preferably at least 120 Jm²/kg.

35 The density of the ballistic-resistant moulded article can be determined in a manner known to one skilled in the art. A suitable, accurate method is

described in ASTM D 792-91. Another suitable method for flat or slightly flexed moulded articles comprises measurement of the density by measuring the weight and accurately measuring the volume with the aid of a Vernier calliper. By this measurement, if accurately performed, the density can be determined with a standard deviation of 0.2-0.4%.

The SEA is defined by $0.5.m.v_{50}^2/AD$, where m is the mass of the projectile. The v_{50} is the velocity of the projectiles fired at the moulded article at which 50% of the projectiles fully penetrate the moulded article, AD is the areal density of the moulded article.

Owing to the surprisingly high SEA achieved, not only moulded articles with an unheard-of level of protection for a given weight of the moulded article are now available but also moulded articles affording the same level of protection as the known moulded article at a significantly lower weight. Low weight per unit area is of great importance in many applications. This is the case, for instance, in the field of personal protective equipment such as helmets, shields, shoes and the like. Low weight is also essential for the application of ballistic-resistant moulded articles in for instance helicopters, motorcars and high-speed, highly manoeuvrable combat vehicles.

The areal density of the moulded article depends on the desired level of protection. Preferably, the areal density is between 10 and 40 kg/m². Below 10 kg/m², the level of protection is inadequate for most threats, above 40 kg/m² the weight will often be too high, especially for body protection.

In the context of the present application "monolayer" means a layer of substantially parallel reinforcing fibres embedded in a plastic matrix material. The term "matrix material" means a material which binds the fibres together and which wholly or

partially encapsulates the fibres. Such monolayers (usually called preregs by one skilled in the art) and methods of obtaining such a monolayer are disclosed in for instance EP-B-0.191.306 and WO 95/00318. A

5 monolayer may be obtained by for instance pulling a number of fibres from a fibre bobbin frame over a comb so that they are oriented in coplanar and parallel fashion in one plane and then impregnating the fibres with the plastic. In this process, fibres may be used

10 that have previously been coated with a polymer other than the plastic matrix material in order to, for instance, protect the fibres during handling or in order to obtain better adhesion of the fibres onto the plastic of the monolayer. Preferably, uncoated fibres

15 are used. Impregnation of the reinforcing fibres with the plastic matrix material can be effected by applying one or more films of the plastic to the top, bottom or both sides of the plane of the fibres and then passing these, together with the fibres, through a heated

20 pressure roll. Preferably, however, the fibres, after being oriented in coplanar, parallel fashion in one plane, are coated with an amount of a liquid substance containing the plastic matrix material of the monolayer. The advantage of this is that more rapid and

25 better impregnation of the fibres is achieved. The liquid substance may be for example a solution, a dispersion or a melt of the plastic. If a solution or a dispersion of the plastic is used in the manufacture of the monolayer, the process also comprises evaporating

30 the solvent or dispersant.

"Reinforcing fibre" here means an elongate body whose length dimension is greater than the transverse dimensions of width and thickness. The term "reinforcing fibre" includes a monofilament, a

35 multifilament yarn, a tape, a strip, a thread, a staple fibre yarn and other elongate objects having a regular or irregular cross-section. Any natural or synthetic

fibre may in principle be used as reinforcing fibre. Use may be made of for instance metal fibres, semi-metal fibres, inorganic fibres, organic fibres or mixtures thereof. For application of the fibres in
5 ballistic-resistant moulded parts it is essential that the fibres be ballistically effective, which, more specifically, requires that they have a high tensile strength, a high tensile modulus and/or high energy absorption. It is preferred for the fibres to have a
10 tensile strength of at least 1.2 GPa and a tensile modulus of at least 40 GPa.

Suitable inorganic fibres having a high tensile strength are for example glass fibres, carbon fibres and ceramic fibres. Suitable organic fibres
15 having a high tensile strength are for example aramid fibres, liquid crystalline polymer fibres and fibres of for instance polyolefins, polyvinylalcohol, polyacrylonitrile which are highly oriented such as those obtained by means of a gel spinning process.

20 An extensive enumeration and description of fibres that may be used in the monolayer are given in WO 91/12136 (line 23 on page 6 to line 8 on page 12).

Homopolymers and copolymers of polyethylene and polypropylene are particularly suitable as
25 polyolefins. Furthermore, the polyolefins used may contain small amounts of one or more other polymers, in particular other alkene-1-polymers.

It is preferred for the reinforcing fibres in the monolayer to be high-drawn fibres of high-molecular
30 weight linear polyethylene. High molecular weight here means a molecular weight of at least 400,000 g/mol.

Linear polyethylene here means polyethylene having fewer than 1 side chain per 100 C atoms, preferably fewer than 1 side chain per 300 C atoms. The
35 polyethylene may also contain up to 5 mol % of one or more other alkenes which are copolymerisable therewith, such as propylene, butene, pentene, 4-methylpentene,

octene.

Preferably, use is made of polyethylene fibres consisting of polyethylene filaments prepared by a gel spinning process as described in for example GB-
5 A-2042414 and GB-A-2051667. This process essentially comprises the preparation of a solution of a polyolefin of high intrinsic viscosity, spinning the solution to filaments at a temperature above the dissolving temperature, cooling down the filaments below the
10 gelling temperature so that gelling occurs and drawing the filaments before, during or after removal of the solvent.

The shape of the cross-section of the filaments can be selected here through selection of the
15 shape of the spinning aperture.

Preferably, the monolayer contains reinforcing fibres, preferably the aforementioned strong polyethylene fibres, with a denier per filament (dpf) greater than or equal to 1.5. Most preferably,
20 use is made of multifilament yarns of ultrahigh molecular weight linear polyethylene with an intrinsic viscosity of at least 5 dl/g, determined in decalin at 135°C, and a yarn titre of at least 50 denier, with the yarn having a tensile strength of at least 35 cN/dtex
25 and a tensile modulus of at least 1000 cN/dtex and the filaments having a cross-section aspect ratio of at most 3. The use of these fibres has been found to improve the high level of protection of the ballistic-resistant panel of the invention still further.

30 The plastic matrix material may wholly or partially consist of a polymer material, which optionally may contain fillers usually employed for polymers. The polymer may be a thermoset or thermoplastic or mixtures of both. Preferably a soft
35 plastic is used, in particular it is preferred for the plastic matrix material to be an elastomer with a tensile modulus (at 25°C) of at most 41 MPa.

Preferably, the elongation to break of the plastic is greater than the elongation to break of the reinforcing fibres. The elongation to break of the matrix preferably is from 3 to 500%.

5 Thermosets and thermoplastics that are suitable for the monolayer are listed in for instance WO-A-91/12136 (line 26, page 15 to line 23, page 21). Preferably, vinylesters, unsaturated polyesters, epoxides or phenol resins are chosen as matrix material
10 from the group of thermosetting polymers. These thermosets usually are in the monolayer in partially set condition (the so-called B stage) before the stack of monolayers is cured during compression of the ballistic-resistant moulded article. From the group of
15 thermoplastic polymers polyurethanes, polyvinyls, polyacryls, polyolefins or thermoplastic, elastomeric block copolymers such as polyisoprene-polyethylene-butylene-polystyrene or polystyrene-polyisoprene-polystyrene block copolymers are preferably chosen as
20 matrix material.

The plastic-matrix-material content of the monolayer is chosen sufficiently low, in any case lower than 30 wt.% relative to the total weight of the monolayer. Preferably, the content is lower than 20
25 wt.%, most preferably lower than 10 wt.%.

The fibre direction in each monolayer in the ballistic-resistant moulded article of the invention is rotated with respect to the fibre direction in an adjacent monolayer. This construction is hereafter
30 referred to as being "cross-layered". Good results are achieved when this rotation amounts to at least 45 degrees. Preferably, this rotation amounts to approximately 90 degrees.

The relatively high density and an SEA value
35 of at least 75 Jm²/kg of the compressed stack of the invention can be achieved by taking a number of measures. It is possible for instance to ensure in a

number of stages in the manufacture of the moulded articles that as few low-density components, like air or from the polymer evaporated gasses as possible remain in the moulded article. This can for instance be accomplished by using a plastic of very low viscosity in impregnating the reinforcing fibres in the manufacture of the monolayer. It is also advantageous to spread the reinforcing fibres well during the impregnation process or to subject them to for instance ultrasonic vibration. As mentioned above, if multifilament yarns are used, it is important for a good spread that the yarns have a low twist. Furthermore, the plastic may be applied in vacuo. Each of these measures has a beneficial effect on the level of protection of a ballistic-resistant moulded article obtained with the monolayers but the level of protection of the moulded article of the invention is not attained or is attained only with great effort.

The invention also relates to a process for the manufacture of a ballistic-resistant moulded article in which monolayers are stacked cross-wise, whereupon the stack is compressed at a given pressure at an elevated temperature.

A process of this kind is disclosed in for instance WO 89/06190. It teaches that when the plastic matrix material is heated to its melting point, relatively low pressures are sufficient for shaping the moulded article. The pressures stated vary from 0.05 MPa to a maximum of 3 MPa.

It has now surprisingly been found that significantly higher SEA values than hitherto known in the state of the art can be attained by compressing and cooling the stack at a pressure of at least 5 MPa.

The pressure preferably is at least 7 MPa. In this way, the theoretical maximum density of the compressed stack is approached more closely and the level of protection against the impact of projectiles

is increased further. The pressure preferably is at least 10 MPa, more preferably at least 13 MPa and most preferably at least 15 MPa. Preferably, the pressures during compression at an elevated temperature and
5 during cooling are the same.

Compression at an elevated temperature is intended to mean that the moulded article is subjected to the given pressure for a particular compression time at a compression temperature above the softening or
10 melting point of the plastic matrix material and below the softening or melting point of the fibres. The required compression time and compression temperature depend on the kind of fibre and matrix material and on the thickness of the moulded article and can be readily
15 determined by one skilled in the art.

It has been found that in order for a high SEA value to be attained it is necessary that after compression at an elevated temperature cooling, too, take place under pressure.
20 Cooling under pressure is intended to mean that the given minimum pressure is maintained during cooling at least until so low a temperature is reached that the structure of the moulded article can no longer relax under atmospheric pressure so that the SEA value cannot
25 decrease. This temperature is easy to establish by one skilled in the art. It is preferred for cooling at the given minimum pressure to be down to a temperature at which the plastic matrix material has largely or completely hardened or crystallized and below the
30 relaxation temperature of the reinforcing fibres. The pressure during the cooling does not need to be equal to the pressure at the high temperature, but it is preferred that these pressures are equal. The pressure loss resulting from shrinkage of the moulded article
35 and the press due to cooling must regularly be compensated for so as to keep the pressure during cooling constant or at least on a sufficient high

AMENDED PAGE 10

level.

As the stack of monolayers is heated to the desired compression temperature, the pressure preferably is increased to the desired level in, preferably at least three, discontinuous steps. In this way, blisters are prevented from forming.

For the manufacture of a ballistic-resistant moulded article in which the reinforcing fibres in the monolayer are high-drawn fibres of high-molecular-weight linear polyethylene, the compression temperature is preferably 115 to 130°C and cooling to below 70°C is effected at a constant pressure. Compression temperature here means the temperature at half the thickness of the moulded article.

In the process of the invention the stack may be made starting from loose monolayers. Loose monolayers are difficult to handle, however, in that they easily tear in the fibre direction. It is therefore preferred to make the stack from consolidated monolayer packages containing from 2 to 8, as a rule 2, 4 or 8, monolayers that are placed cross-wise. Consolidated is intended to mean that the monolayers are firmly attached to one another. Very good results are achieved if the monolayer packages, too, are compressed at an elevated temperature and cooled at a high pressure, preferably of at least 5 MPa.

US-3743561 describes a process for the manufacturing of composite structures or articles from reinforcing fibers in a two step process. In the first step an intermediate structure is manufactured. In a second step a number of intermediate articles are assembled to form the composite article. Although US-3743561 describes the objective of improving mechanical properties, no disclosure is given about ballistic properties of the structures or articles.

In another embodiment of the process of the invention the ballistic-resistant moulded article is manufactured by a process whereby, in a first step, a semi-manufactured

AMENDED PAGE 10A

article is produced by forming a stack of cross-layered mono-layers, which stack has an areal density of from 0.25 to 5 kg/m² and subsequently compressing this stack at an elevated temperature and cooling this stack at a given first pressure whereupon, in a second step, the semi-manufactured articles are compressed onto each other at an elevated temperature and cooled at a given second pressure, with the first

pressure being at least 5 MPa.

An advantage of this process is that, if the semi-manufactured articles are also compressed and cooled at a pressure of at least 5 MPa in the second
5 step, the moulded articles obtained have a higher SEA value than moulded articles manufactured in a single compression step at the same pressure.

Another important advantage of the above-mentioned process is that high SEA values are
10 attainable when the second pressure is relatively low, preferably at most 5 MPa. It has been found that good SEA values can be attained if the second pressure is lower than 5 MPa or even 3 MPa. A major advantage of this is that manufacturers of ballistic-resistant
15 moulded articles, who often do not have high pressure moulds (i.e. higher than 5 MPa) at their disposal, can still achieve the good properties of the ballistic-resistant moulded article of the invention using conventional moulding equipment.

20 The semi-manufactured articles can then be centrally produced in locations where a high-pressure mould is available, for example at the manufacturer of the monolayers or monolayer packages.

The invention also relates to the semi-
25 manufactured article for the manufacture of a ballistic-resistant moulded article of the invention that is obtainable by the first step in the above-mentioned process.

The semi-manufactured article has an areal
30 density of from 0.25 to 5 kg/m². An areal density lower than 0.25 kg/m² has the disadvantage that the SEA value of the ballistic-resistant moulded article ultimately obtained is lower. An areal density higher than 5 kg/m² has the disadvantage that there is little flexibility
35 in the choice of the areal density of the ballistic-resistant moulded article ultimately to be formed and that the cycle time for compressing the semi-

manufactured article becomes unacceptably long.
Preferably, the areal density of the semi-manufactured article is between 0.5 and 2.5 kg/m².

It has surprisingly been found that, using
5 the process of the invention, higher SEA values are attainable if the reinforcing fibres in the monolayers have a cross-section aspect ratio of at most 3. Cross-section aspect ratio is intended to mean the ratio of the longest to the shortest principal axis of a
10 perpendicular cross-section of the fibre. Preferably, the aspect ratio is lower than 2, more preferably lower than 1.5. It has been found that high SEA values are obtained at low compressive pressures in ballistic-resistant moulded articles in which the filaments have
15 a lower aspect ratio (being rounder). For the same reason, the filaments preferably also have a fineness of 1.5 denier per filament or more.

Furthermore, it has been found that, using
the process of the invention, higher SEA values are
20 attainable at comparable compressive pressures if the monolayers employed in the ballistic-resistant moulded article are obtained by impregnating reinforcing fibres with an aqueous dispersion which contains the plastic matrix material.

Furthermore, it has been found that, using
the process of the invention, higher SEA values are
attainable at comparable compressive pressures if the
monolayers employed in the ballistic-resistant moulded
article have a fibre weight higher than 25, more
30 preferably higher than 50 g/m². Preferably, the fibre weight is at most 150 g/m², more preferably lower than 100 g/m².

The invention is illustrated by means of the
following examples without being limited thereto.

35

Examples I and II and comparative experiments A and B

Material used:

A monolayer package consisting of four monolayers disposed cross-wise at an angle of 90 degrees. The fibres are high-drawn fibres of high molecular weight linear polyethylene of make Dyneema SK66 (TM) with a strength of 32.5 cN/dtex, a modulus of 1015 cN/dtex and a fineness of 1 denier per filament with a cross-section aspect ratio of about 5. The monolayer contains 20 wt.% matrix material consisting of Kraton (TM) applied from an aqueous dispersion. Kraton is a styrene-isoprene-styrene triblock copolymer. The areal density of the monolayer package is 135 g/m².

15 Procedure:

A number of the above-mentioned monolayer packages were stacked to yield a package whereupon the package in its entirety was placed between two platens of a standard press. The temperature of the platens was about 125-130°C. The package was retained in the press until the temperature at the centre of the package was 115-125°C. Subsequently, the pressure was increased to a compressive pressure as stated in Table 1 below and the package was kept under this pressure for 15 min. Next, the package was cooled to a temperature of 60°C at the same compressive pressure.

Test procedure:

The panels obtained were fired at in accordance with the procedure set out in STANAG 2920. Use was made of a 7.62 x 39 mm Mild Steel Core (PS Ball M 1943) bullet weighing 8 grammes. The bullet used had been obtained from Messrs Sellier & Bellot, Czech Republic. The experimental density of the panels was determined by accurate weighing and measurement of the volume using a Vernier calliper. The standard deviation according to this density measurement is 0.002-0.004

g/cm³.

Results:

The v_{50} and SEA values as a function of the compressive pressure are given in Table 1. The stated SEA value was calculated from the total areal density of the ballistic-resistant moulded article. Relative density is intended to mean the ratio of the experimental density of the panel ρ_p and the theoretical density of the panel, which is defined by $(\rho_f m_f + \rho_k m_k)$. The theoretical density of the ballistic-resistant moulded article as calculated from $\rho_f = 0.97$, $m_f = 0.8$ and $\rho_k = 0.943$ amounts to 0.965.

Table 1

	AD (kg/m ²)	compressiv e pressure (MPa)	V_{50} (m/sec)	SEA (Jm ² /kg)	Relative density
A	33.5	1.0	591	42	0.969
B	33.5	2.5	668	53	0.974
I	33.8	7.0	825	81	0.990
II	26.9	16.5	772	89	0.992

The results indicate that, at equal areal density, the ballistic-resistant panel of the invention has a surprisingly higher SEA value than a panel with a lower rel. density. The table also shows that the panel of the invention can be obtained by choosing a compressive pressure of at least 5 MPa.

Examples III-VI

Material used:

A monolayer package consisting of four
5 monolayers disposed cross-wise at an angle of 90
degrees. The monolayer package differs from the
monolayer packages referred to in Examples I and II
only in respect of the fibres used. The fibres are
high-drawn fibres of high molecular weight linear
10 polyethylene with a yarn titre of 880 denier, a tensile
strength of 37.3 cN/dtex, a tensile modulus of 1220
cN/dtex, an elongation to break of 3.7%, a fineness of
2 denier per filament and a round cross-section aspect
ratio of about 1. The monolayer contains 20 wt.% matrix
15 material consisting of Kraton (TM). The areal density
of the monolayer package is 135 g/m².

Procedure and testing

Starting from the above-mentioned monolayer packages,
20 ballistic-resistant moulded articles were produced at
various compressive pressures and tested in the manner
set out in Example 1. The ballistic-resistant panel
obtained had an areal density equal to 25.9 kg/m² for
all compressive pressures.

25

The results are given in Table 2.

Table 2

30

Example	compressive pressure (MPa)	V ₅₀ (m/sec)	SEA Jm ² /kg)	relative density (-)
III	1.0	741	85	0.981
IV	2.5	768	91	0.988
V	7.0	913	129	0.996

Example	compressive pressure (MPa)	V ₅₀ (m/sec)	SEA (Jm ² /kg)	relative density (-)
VI	16.5	939	136	0.995

Comparative Experiment C and Examples VII and VIII

5 In Comparative Experiment C and Examples VII and VIII, ballistic-resistant moulded articles were produced from monolayer packages as described in Example I in the manner described above. The compressive pressures in
 10 Comparative Experiment C and Example VII were 1 MPa and 7 MPa, respectively. In Example VIII, semi-manufactured articles consisting of 5 monolayer packages were first moulded at a first compressive pressure of 5 MPa, which semi-manufactured articles were then stacked and
 15 compressed at a second pressure of 1 MPa. In each case, the compressive pressure was kept at the same level during cooling. The results are given in Table 3.

Table 3

	AD (kg/m ²)	compressive pressure (MPa)	V ₅₀ (m/sec)	SEA (Jm ² /kg)
C	29.6	1	614	51
VII	33.8	7	825	81
VIII	29.1	5/1	739	75

Comparative Experiments D and E and Examples IX and X

As the first starting material (S1) a monolayer package available under the name of SpectraShield (TM) and consisting of four monolayers

disposed crosswise at an angle of 90 degrees. The fibres are high-drawn fibres of high-molecular-weight linear polyethylene of make Spectra 1000 (TM) with a fineness of 5.5 denier per filament and a cross-section aspect ratio of about 1. The monolayer was obtained by impregnating the unidirectional fibres with a solution of the matrix material Kraton (TM).

As the second starting material (S2) a monolayer package was prepared by first preparing a monolayer starting from Spectra 1000 fibres which were impregnated with an aqueous dispersion (instead of a solution as in S1) of the matrix material Kraton. The yarn weight in the monolayer is 52 g/m², the matrix content is 20%. Of these monolayers four-layer monolayer packages were made.

The monolayer packages S1 and S2 were stacked and compressed into ballistic-resistant moulded articles as described above. In Comparative Experiment D and Example IX starting material S1 was used, in Comparative Experiment E and Example X starting material S2 was used. The compressive pressures used and the results are given in Table 4.

Table 4

Example	AD (kg/m ²)	compressive pressure (MPA)	V ₅₀ (m/sec)	SEA (Jm ² /kg)
D (S1)	24.9	1	524	44
E (S2)	22	1	598	65
IX (S1)	24.9	5	761	93
X (S2)	22	5	792	114

A comparison between Comparative Experiments D and E and Examples IX and X shows the great improvement in ballistic resistance. A comparison of the starting materials S1 and S2 in Examples VII and VIII shows the
5 great improvement in ballistic resistance obtained when the monolayers have been prepared with an aqueous dispersion of the matrix material in combination with high-pressure compression.

AMENDED CLAIMS

1. Ballistic-resistant moulded article containing a compressed stack of monolayers, with each monolayer containing unidirectionally oriented reinforcing fibres and at most 30 wt.% of a plastic matrix material and with the fibre direction in each monolayer being rotated with respect to the fibre direction in an adjacent monolayer, characterized in that the density (ρ_p) of the compressed stack is at least 98.0% of the theoretical maximum density.
2. Ballistic-resistant moulded article according to claim 1, characterized in that the density ρ_p is at least 99.0% of the theoretical maximum density.
3. Ballistic-resistant moulded article according to claim 1 or claim 2, characterized in that the reinforcing fibres in the monolayer have a tensile strength of at least 1.2 GPa and a tensile modulus of at least 40 GPa.
4. Ballistic-resistant moulded article according to any one of the claims 1-3, characterized in that the reinforcing fibres in the monolayer are high-drawn fibres of high-molecular-weight linear polyethylene.
5. Ballistic-resistant moulded article according to claim 1, characterized in that the plastic matrix material is an elastomer with a tensile modulus (at 25°C) of at most 41 MPa.
6. Ballistic-resistant moulded article according to claim 1, characterized in that the rotation amounts to 90 degrees.
7. Ballistic-resistant moulded article according to claims 1-6, characterized in that the moulded article has a specific energy absorption (SEA) of at least 75 Jm²/kg on impact of a 7.62 x 39 Mild Steel Core P.S. Ball M1943 bullet.
8. Process for manufacturing a ballistic resistant moulded article in which a stack is made of crosswise-arranged monolayers, which stack is compressed under pressure of at least 5 MPa and at an elevated temperature and which

AMENDED CLAIMS

stack is thereafter cooled under pressure, characterized in that the monolayers have a fiber weight between 25 and 100 gr/m².

9. Process for manufacturing a ballistic-resistant moulded article in which in a first step a semi-manufactured article is produced by forming a stack of cross-layered monolayers, which stack has an areal density of from 0.25 to 5 kg/m², and subsequently compressing this stack at an elevated temperature and cooling this stack at a given first compressive pressure whereupon, in a second step, the semi-manufactured articles are compressed onto each other at an elevated temperature and cooled at a given second pressure, with the first pressure being at least 5 MPa.
10. Process according to claim 9, characterized in that the second pressure is at most 5 MPa.
11. Process according to claim 8 or 9, characterized in that the stack is made from consolidated monolayer packages containing from 2 to 8 monolayers that are placed cross-wise.
12. Process according to claim 11, characterized in that the monolayer packages, too, are compressed and cooled at a pressure of at least 5 MPa.
13. Process according to any one of the claims 8, 9 or 12, characterized in that the specified pressure is at least 7 MPa.
14. Process according to any one of the claims 8, 9 or 12, characterized in that the specified pressure is at least 10 MPa.
15. Process according to any one of the claims 8-14, characterized in that the reinforcing fibres in the monolayers have a cross-section aspect ratio of at most 3.
16. Process according to any one of the claims 8-15, characterized in that the monolayer has been obtained by im

AMENDED CLAIMS

- pregnating the reinforcing fibres with an aqueous dispersion containing the plastic matrix material.
17. Process according to any one of the claims 8-16, characterized in that the monolayer has a fibre weight of between 50 and 150 g/m².
 18. Ballistic-resistant moulded article obtainable according to the process of any of the claims 8-17, characterized in that the moulded article has a SEA of at least 75 Jm²/kg on impact of a 7.62 Mild Steel Core P.S. Ball M1943 bullet.
 19. Semi-manufactured article according to the first step in the process according to claim 9 for the manufacture of a ballistic-resistant moulded article according to any of the claims 9-16, characterized in that the areal density of the semi-manufactured article is between 0.25 and 5 kg/m².

A B S T R A C T

5 The invention relates to a ballistic-resistant moulded article containing a compressed stack of monolayers, with each monolayer containing unidirectionally oriented reinforcing fibres and at most 30 wt.% of a plastic matrix material and with the fibre direction in each monolayer being rotated with respect to the fibre direction in an adjacent
10 monolayer, characterized in that the density (ρ_p) of the compressed stack is at least 98.0% of the theoretical maximum density. The invention also relates to a process for manufacturing the moulded article.

15 The ballistic-resistant article may be used in, for instance, helmets, as inserts in bullet-proof vests, as armouring on military vehicles and in ballistic-resistant panels.

005519-092400

FOR UTILITY/DESIGN
CIP/PCT NATIONAL/PLANT
ORIGINAL/SUBSTITUTE/SUPPLEMENTAL
DECLARATIONS

RULE 63 (37 C.F.R. 1.63)
DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY
FOR PATENT APPLICATION
IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

CUSHMAN
FORM

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that my residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below next to my name, and I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the INVENTION ENTITLED
JALLISTIC-RESISTANT MOULDED ARTICLE AND A PROCESS FOR THE MANUFACTURE OF THE MOULDED ARTICLE
the specification of which (CHECK applicable BOX(ES))

☒ is attached hereto.
☐ was filed on _____ as U.S. Application No. 0 /
BOX(ES) ☒ was filed as PCT International Application No. PCT/NL96 / 00247 on 14 June 1996
☒ and (if applicable to U.S. or PCT application) was amended on 29 July 1997

I hereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above. I acknowledge the duty to disclose all information known to me to be material to patentability as defined in 37 C.F.R. 1.56. I hereby claim foreign priority benefits under 35 U.S.C. 119/365 of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor's certificate filed by me or my assignee disclosing the subject matter claimed in this application and having a filing date (1) before that of the application on which priority is claimed, or (2) if no priority claimed, before the filing date of this application:

PRIOR FOREIGN APPLICATION(S)			Date first Laid- open or Published	Date Patented or Granted	Priority Claimed	
Number	Country	Day/MONTH/Year Filed			Yes	No
1000598	the Netherlands	20 June 1995		23 December 1996	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
					<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

I hereby claim domestic priority benefit under 35 U.S.C. 119/120/365 of the indicated United States applications listed below and PCT international applications listed above or below and, if this is a continuation-in-part (CIP) application, insofar as the subject matter disclosed and claimed in this application is in addition to that disclosed in such prior applications, I acknowledge the duty to disclose all information known to me to be material to patentability as defined in 37 C.F.R. 1.56 which became available between the filing date of each such prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application:

PRIOR U.S. PROVISIONAL, NONPROVISIONAL AND/OR PCT APPLICATION(S)			Status	Priority Claimed	
Application No. (series code/serial no.)	Day/MONTH/Year Filed		pending, abandoned, patented	Yes	No
PCT/NL96/00247	14 June 1996		pending	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
				<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

I hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

And I hereby appoint Cushman Darby & Cushman Intellectual Property Group of Pillsbury Madison & Sutro LLP, 1100 New York Avenue, N.W., Ninth Floor, East Tower, Washington, D.C. 20005-3918, telephone number (202) 861-3000 (to whom all communications are to be directed), and the below-named persons (of the same address) individually and collectively my attorneys to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark Office connected therewith and with the resulting patent, and I hereby authorize them to delete names/numbers below of persons no longer with their firm and to act and rely on instructions from and communicate directly with the person/assignee/attorney/firm/ organization who/which first sends/sent this case to them and by whom/which I hereby declare that I have consented after full disclosure to be represented unless/until I instruct the above Firm and/or a below attorney in writing to the contrary.

Paul N. Kokulis	16773	David W. Brinkman	20817	Chris Comuntzis	31097	Mark G. Paulson	30793
Raymond F. Lippitt	17519	George M. Sirilla	18221	Lawrence Harbin	27644	James D. Berquist	34776
G.Lloyd Knight	17698	Donald J. Bird	25323	Paul E. White, Jr.	32011	Timothy J. Klima	34852
Carl G. Love	18781	W. Warren Taltavull	25647	Michelle N. Lester	32331	John P. Moran	30906
Edgar H. Martin	20534	Peter W. Gowdey	25872	Jeffrey A. Simenauer	31993	Stephen C. Glazier	31361
William K. West, Jr.	22057	Dale S. Lazar	28872	G. Paul Edgell	24238	Paul F. McQuade	31542
Kevin E. Joyce	20508	Glenn J. Perry	28458	Lynn E. Eccleston	35861	Barry L. Grossman	30844
Edward M. Prince	22429	Kendrew H. Colton	30368	David A. Jakopin	32995		

(1) INVENTOR'S SIGNATURE: X Leonardus L.H. van der Loo Date: 10-12-97

Leonardus		L.H.		van der Loo	
First		Middle Initial		Family Name	
Residence	Beek		the Netherlands		the Netherlands
City		State/Foreign Country		Country of Citizenship	
Post Office Address		Iepenlaan 7, 6176 DK Beek, the Netherlands			
(include Zip Code)					

(2) INVENTOR'S SIGNATURE:

Date:

First		Middle Initial		Family Name	
Residence					
City		State/Foreign Country		Country of Citizenship	
Post Office Address					
(include Zip Code)					

(FOR ADDITIONAL INVENTORS, check box ☐ to attach CDC 116-2 same information for each re signature, name, date, citizenship, residence and address.)